



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/470,571	06/06/1995	JOHN C. HARVEY	PMC-003 C228	7586
70813 7590 11/03/2009 GOODWIN PROCTER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20001				
EXAMINER				
PAPPAS, PETER				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2628				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/03/2009		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

AAAlpha-Kpetewama@goodwinprocter.com  
patentdc@goodwinprocter.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 08/470,571	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HARVEY ET AL.		
	<b>Examiner</b> PETER-ANTHONY PAPPAS	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;"><b>Art Unit</b> 2628</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	<b>Art Unit</b> 2628	
<b>Art Unit</b> 2628				

  

**All Participants:**

(1) PETER-ANTHONY PAPPAS.

(2) Thomas Scott.

**Date of Interview:** 22 October 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) Carl Benson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  

Claims discussed:

67-72,74,80,81,84,85,87,91,93-95,98,100,102,103,106-109 and 183-197

  

Prior art documents discussed:

  

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  

/Peter-Anthony Pappas/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2628

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

An examiner's amendment to place the instant application into conditions for allowance was proposed and agreed upon. The examiner reminded the applicant that they must comply with the Administrative Requirement. The applicant acknowledged and stated that they would comply with the Administrative Requirement.